

**MINUTES**  
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE GOVERNING BOARD  
GOLETA SANITARY DISTRICT  
A PUBLIC AGENCY  
DISTRICT OFFICE CONFERENCE ROOM  
ONE WILLIAM MOFFETT PLACE  
GOLETA, CALIFORNIA 93117

September 26, 2007

**BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:** George W. Emerson, Elbert W. Trantow, John R. Fox,  
John S. Carter

**BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:** Steven T. Majoewsky

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Kamil Azoury, General Manager; Jeff Salt, Operations  
Manager; Kathleen Werner, Tech Services, Richard G.  
Battles, Legal Counsel from Mullen & Henzell

**PUBLIC PRESENT:** Larry Meyer, Craig Geyer, and Dr. David Lewis, Goleta  
West Sanitary District Directors; Diane Powers, Goleta  
West Sanitary District Office Manager, Randy Griffin and  
Judy Charles, associates of Tetra Tech, Inc.; Guillermo  
Garcia, Jeff Berk, associates of HDR Engineering, Inc. ,  
Jack Williams of Santa Barbara County; Mark Fischer of  
UCSB and Hazel Johns of Santa Barbara Municipal  
Airport.

**CALL TO ORDER:** President Emerson called the meeting of the Goleta  
Sanitary District Board of Directors to order at  
12:25 p.m.  
Director Meyer called the meeting of the Goleta West  
Sanitary District Board of Directors to order at 12:26 p.m.

**POSTING OF AGENDA:** The agenda notice for this meeting was posted at the  
Goleta Sanitary District and the Goleta branch of the  
Santa Barbara County Library for 24 hours in advance of  
the meeting.

**PUBLIC COMMENTS:** None

**BUSINESS:**

1. PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION BY HDR ENGINEERING, INC. AND TETRA TECH  
INC. CONSULTANTS OF THE STATUS OF THE TREATMENT PLANT UPGRADING  
PROJECT.

Mr. Azoury opened the meeting with introductory remarks and handed out color copies of  
the powerpoint presentation titled, "*Goleta Sanitary District, Board Workshop 3,*

*Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility Planning Study, Treatment Process Alternatives, September 26, 2007.*" He relinquished the floor to Mr. Guillermo Garcia of HDR who proceeded with the workshop. Mr. Garcia began by summarizing the work completed prior to this workshop. He outlined the original eight treatment processes that were evaluated and reported that after an initial screening these eight were reduced to four. He summarized the common facility improvements that will need to be done regardless of which process is eventually selected. Two additional processes described as variations of one of the final four were added to the list of potential viable processes at the request of GSD.

Mr. Garcia described the economic and non-economic analysis that was used to rank each of the remaining six alternatives. The roughing filter/activated sludge alternative, identified in the analysis as "2B" was ranked first and thus was determined to be the most feasible treatment process. The project cost for this liquid treatment process including the common improvements was estimated to be \$27M in 2007 dollars.

Mr. Garcia relinquished the floor to Mr. Jeff Berk of HDR who proceeded to summarize the process used to identify and rank the various treatment alternatives for the solids portion of the treatment plant. Six solids treatment processes were evaluated using an economic and non-economic analysis similar to that used for the liquid treatment. Based on the current goals and policies of the District and the longevity of the current disposal options the most feasible solids treatment process was determined to be a modified version of the currently used process. Jeff pointed out that a phased implementation of another solids treatment process could be easily accomplished as the disposal options and District policies change. The cost of modifying the current solids treatment process is estimated to be \$11M. The total cost of the recommended project is estimated to be \$38M.

A question and answer period followed the presentations. Most questions were of a specific nature relating to clarification of the treatment processes. Broader questions dealt with issues such as: the ability of the treatment process to deal with pharmaceutical waste; clarification of reasons why the most feasible treatment process was not the least expensive; the option of using solar energy; the possibility of re-evaluating the solids treatment process if and when disposal options change.

Ms. Judy Charles gave a very quick overview of the roadmap Tetra Tech will use to take the most feasible treatment process alternative through the environmental review process.

The workshop concluded with a brief discussion of the next steps. The District welcomed all contractual users to comment on the presentation and agreed that all comments will be distributed to all contractual users.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business, President Emerson, adjourned the meeting of the Goleta Sanitary District Board of Directors at 2:35 p.m.

There being no further business, Director Meyer, adjourned the meeting of the Goleta West Sanitary District Board of Directors at 2:36 p.m.

---

George W. Emerson  
Governing Board President

---

Kamil S. Azoury  
Governing Board Secretary

---

Elbert W. Trantow

---

John R. Fox

---

John S. Carter

---

Steven T. Majoewsky